I think that there is one inconsistency between this diagram and the table definitions Books.TablesStandard.WithConstraints: post any inconsistencies you find to Discussion Board

I would allow 1..1 instead of the 1..* are consistent with the participation assertions

What do you think the motivation was for making the PK of Account both CC# and just Email, rather than just CC#? Post ideas to Discussion Board

This is the UML analog of an ER weak entity construct (see pp 181-182)