

Tip Sheet: Functional Behavior Assessment and Function-Based Interventions

Definition

Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) is a process of gathering information from a variety of sources to develop a hypothesis regarding *why* a student is displaying challenging behaviors. The function is assessed in terms of a student's context/environment. This means determining what happens before the problem behavior occurs (i.e., antecedents that can lead to or exacerbate the problem behavior) and what happens after the problem behavior occurs (consequences that can maintain the behavior). Maintaining consequences are either positive reinforcement (student misbehaves to get something such as attention, object, activity, event, sensory stimulation) or negative reinforcement (student misbehaves to escape/avoid something such as attention, object, activity, event, pain or discomfort). Once a hypothesis has been developed as to why the behavior occurs, a behavior intervention plan is designed based on the maintaining function to decrease the challenging behavior and increase positive, prosocial behavior (Alberto & Troutman, 2009; Scott et al., 2008)

Other Terminology

Functional Behavior Analysis or Functional Analysis of Behavior (FAB)

Rationale (Kerr & Nelson, 2010)

Interventions are more successful that are designed to address both the form and function of the problem behavior than interventions that are designed simply to reduce inappropriate behavior. Instead of focusing on just the definition of a behavior, focus on *why* a behavior is occurring.

Possible function of behavior:

- To get access to something
 - Social attention (teacher, parent, peer)
 - Tangible (object, activity, event)
 - Sensory stimulation (e.g., visual, kinesthetic)
- To escape or avoid something
 - Social interaction or attention (teacher, peer)
 - Tangible (object, activity, event)
 - Demanding task
 - Internal pain or discomfort

The benefits include:

- Improved behavioral outcomes with a hypothesis-driven intervention;
- Increased appropriate, prosocial behaviors with reduced emphasis on punishment;
- Increased likelihood of meaningful and lasting change

(Alberto & Troutman, 2003; Ingram et al., 2005; Stahr et al., 2006).

Implementation: Steps in Functional Behavior Assessment and Function-Based

Interventions (The IRIS Center, 2009). See below for more information on each section.

Note: Although the use of FBA with student with challenging behavior is mandated by federal law, currently there is not a research or federally accepted standardized protocol (Scott et al., 2004).

Determine the Behavior's Function

Step 1: Identify and define problem and replacement behaviors

Step 2: Collect data

Step 3: Identify the function of the behavior (gain/get or escape/avoid)

Develop and Implement a Function-Based Intervention

Step 4: Design a function-based intervention

Step 5: Maximize intervention success

Step 6: Implement the intervention

Evaluate the Effectiveness of the Intervention

Step 7: Evaluate the intervention

Below are the steps with more detailed information. See FBA PowerPoint for an example.

Determine the Behavior's Function

Step 1: Identify and define problem and replacement behaviors

- Clear, concise, measurable definitions with examples and nonexamples. See below for example:
 - “**Non-engagement**” is any time Mark is not attending to classroom instruction or completing assigned work assigned.
Examples: Making verbal and non-verbal noises without permission; out of seat without permission; talking or gesturing to other students which is unrelated to the assignment
Non-examples: Looking at teachers during instruction; raising hand to make a statement or request assistance; or working on an assignment during class.
 - “**Academic Engagement**” is the amount of time Mark spends actively engaged & working on relevant assignments or activities in academic settings.
Examples: Looking at the teacher while she was instructing; working on the assigned tasks; requesting assistance in an acceptable manner; and following directions.
Non-examples: Not attending to the assigned task; displaying disruptive behaviors; and breaking classroom rules

Step 2: Collect data (remember to use a variety of both direct and indirect data sources)

- Record review - Look for:
 - Academic performance
Could target behaviors be related to an academic skill deficit?
 - History of disciplinary actions or previous interventions
 - What has or has not worked?
 - What has or has not worked?
 - Medical or health factors
 - Other risk factors
- Teacher, parent, student interviews – Look for:

- WHO is present when the student exhibits target behavior?
- WHAT happens right before the target behavior (antecedent) and what happens right after the target behavior (consequence)?
- WHEN does a behavior occur (time of day; Specific activity)?
- WHERE does a behavior occur?
- *Also, remember to identify when/where/with whom a target does **not** occur!*
- Example of interview form: The Functional Assessment Interview (O'Neill et al., 1997)
- Rating scales
 - Designed to provide information related to function of the target behavior function. Respondents answer questions about the frequency of behaviors. Numerical scores rank order the possible functions
 - A few options ...
 - Problem Behavior Questionnaire (PBQ; Lewis et al., 1994).
 - Motivational Assessment Scale (MAS; Durand & Crimmins, 1988)
 - The Functional Assessment Analysis Screening Tool (FAST; Iwata & DeLeon, 1996)
 - The Questions about Behavioral Function (QABF; Paclawskyj et al., 2000)
- Direct Observation
 - Scatter Plot (Touchette et al., 1985)
 - Use a grid to determine estimated frequency and patterns of target behavior across setting and time
 - A-B-C
 - Detailed recording of the antecedents that occur before the target behavior and the consequences that occur after the target behavior
 - Baseline Data
 - Collect data on the target and replacement behaviors using frequency, interval, duration, or latency

Step 3: Identify the function of the behavior (gain/get or escape/avoid)

- Why is the student behaving this way?
- All behaviors occur to GET (access) or GET OUT OF (avoid) something:
 - Attention (peers or teacher)
 - Activities
 - Tangibles
 - Sensory
- When determining the function of the behavior, examine the consequence that resulted from the behavior
- Summarize all data sources using the Function Matrix (See below; Umbreit et al., 2007)
- Develop a hypothesis
 - Example: During independent work time and when the teacher is working with other students, Steve gets out of his seat and calls out to gain teacher attention.

Develop and Implement a Function-Based Intervention

Step 4: Design a function-based intervention

- Determine if and how the replacement behavior needs to be taught (“can’t do” or “won’t do”)
- Determine antecedent adjustments to prevent problem behavior from occurring and to prompt replacement behavior
 - Examples: change room arrangement; increase teacher prompts; offer choices
- Determine consequence modifications
 - Reinforce replacement behavior
 - Do not reinforce problem behavior (e.g., ignore, use extinction)

Step 5: Maximize intervention success

- Determine social validity
- Evaluate intervention fidelity (AKA implementation fidelity; treatment integrity; See below for example)
- Plan for generalization and maintenance

Step 6: Implement the intervention

Evaluate the Effectiveness of the Intervention

Step 7: Evaluate the intervention

- Compare baseline and intervention data
- Monitor intervention fidelity data
- Implement plans for generalization and maintenance

Functional Assessment Matrix Example

	Functions of Behavior	
	Access/Obtain	Escape/Avoid
Attention	*Teacher interview: When Steve is out of his seat, teacher goes to his desk. *Student interview: Steve says his teacher ignores him when he needs help. *Rating scale: High rating for teacher attention. *ABC observation: Function of behavior appears to be teacher attention.	
Tangibles or Activities,		*Teacher interview: Off task happens mostly during independent seatwork. *Parent interview: Steve tells parent that the work is too easy, and he gets bored.
Sensory Conditions		

Adapted from Umbreit, J., Ferro, J., Liaupsin, C. J., & Lane, K. L. (2007). *Functional behavioral assessment and function-based intervention: An effective, practical approach*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Press. Figure 5.3, page 84.

Treatment Integrity Checklist Example

	Intervention Components	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.
Antecedent Conditions	Did the teacher explain the assignments and provide examples?					
	Did Julie choose a peer assistant to help if she had questions?					
	Did Julie rate her accuracy and completion and then check it with a peer?					
Reinforcement	Did Julie receive peer attention after completing each assignment?					
	Did Julie's peer provide praise?					
	Did the teacher provide praise after all three assignments were completed?					
Extinction	Did the teacher use a brief verbal direct for Julie to finish her work, if needed?					
	Was Julie only allowed to access the cubbies after her work was completed?					
	Teacher initials					

Evidence

- Decreased problem behaviors and increased appropriate behaviors:
 - Decreases in off-task (Umbreit & Blair, 1997)
 - Decreases in talking out (Smith & Sugai, 2000)
 - Increases in levels of task engagement (Umbreit et al., 2004)
 - Increases in work completion (Brooks et al., 2003)

Used with different student populations:

- Severe disabilities (Dunlap et al., 1991)
 - Down Syndrome (Brooks et al., 2003)
 - Autism (Mancil & Boman, 2010)
 - Attention deficit disorders (Ervin et al., 1998; Stahr et al., 2006)
 - Emotional and behavioral disorders (Smith & Sugai, 2000)
 - At-risk students (Hagan-Burke et al., 2007)
 - Young children (McLaren & Nelson, 2009)
 - ELL (Preciado et al., 2009)
- Used in a variety of educational settings:
 - Preschool (Wood et al., 2011)
 - Head start (McLaren & Nelson, 2009)

- General education classrooms (Lane et al., 2006b)
- Self-contained classrooms (Lane et al., 2006a)
- Alternative settings (Turton et al., 2010)
- Family/school collaboration (Blair et al., 2011)

References

- Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2009). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Blair, K-S. C., Lee, I-S., Cho, S-J., & Dunlap, G. (2011). Positive behavior support through family–school collaboration for young children with autism. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 31*(1), 22-36.
- Brooks, A., Todd, A. W., Tofflemoyer, S., & Horner, R. H. (2003). Use of functional assessment and a self-management system to increase academic engagement and work completion. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 5*, 144–152.
- Dunlap, G., Kern-Dunlap, L., Clarke, S., & Robbins, F. R. (1991). Functional assessment, curriculum revision, and severe behavior problems. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 24*, 387-397.
- Durand, V., & Crimmins, D. (1988). Identifying the variable maintaining self- injurious behaviors. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 18*, 99- 117.
- Ervin, R. A., DuPaul, G. J., Kern, L., & Friman, P. C. (1998). Classroom-based functional and adjunctive assessments: Proactive approaches to intervention selection for adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 31*(1), 65–78.
- Hagan-Burke, S., Burke, M. D., & Sugai, G. (2007). Using structural analysis and academic -based intervention for a student at risk of EBD. *Behavioral Disorders, 32*(3), 175–191.
- Ingram, K., Lewis-Palmer, T., & Sugai, G. (2005). Function-based intervention planning: Comparing the effectiveness of FBA function-based and non-function-based intervention plans. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 7*, 224-236.
- Iwata B.L., & DeLeon I. G. (1996). *The Functional Analysis Screening Tool (FAST)*. Unpublished Manuscript. University of Florida: Gainesville, FL.
- Kerr, M. M., & Nelson, C. M. (2010). *Strategies for addressing behavior problems in the classroom* (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Lane, K. L., Thompson, A., Reske, C., Gable, L., & Barton-Arwood, S. (2006a). Reducing skin picking via competing activities. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 39*, 459-462.

- Lane, K. L., Weisenbach, J. L., Little, M. A., Phillips, A., & Wehby, J. (2006b). Illustrations of function-based interventions implemented by general education teachers: Building capacity at the school site. *Education and Treatment of Children, 29*(4), 549–571.
- Lewis, T. J., Scott, T. M., & Sugai, G. M. (1994). The problem behavior questionnaire: A teacher-based instrument to develop functional hypotheses of problem behavior in general education classrooms. *Diagnostic, 19*, 103-115.
- Mancil, G. R., & Boman, M. (2010). Functional communication training in the classroom, A guide for success. *Preventing School Failure, 54*(4), 238-246.
- McLaren, E. M., & Nelson, C. M. (2009). Using functional behavior assessment to develop behavior Interventions for students in Head Start. *Journal of Positive Behavioral Intervention, 11*(1), 3-21.
- O’Neill, R. E., Horner, R. H., Albin, R. A., Storey, J., & Sprague, J. (1997) *Functional analysis: A practical guide* (2nd ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks Cole.
- Paclawskyj, T. R., Matson, J. L., Rush, K. S., Smalls, Y., & Vollmer, T. R. (2000). Questions about behavior function (QABF): A behavioral checklist for functional assessment of aberrant behavior. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 21*, 223–229.
- Preciado, J. A., Horner, R. H., & Baker, S. K. (2009). Using a function-based approach to decrease problem behaviors and increase academic engagement for Latino English language learners. *The Journal of Special Education, 42*(4), 227–240.
- Scott, T. M, Anderson, C. M., & Spaulding, S. A., (2008). Strategies for developing and carrying out functional assessment and behavior intervention planning. *Preventing School Failure, 52*(3), 39-49.
- Scott, T. M., et al., (2004). Using functional behavior assessment in general education settings: Making a case for effectiveness and efficiency. *Behavioral Disorders, 29*, 300–323.
- Smith, B. W., & Sugai, G. (2000). A self-management functional assessment-based behavior support plan for a middle school, student with EBD. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 2*, 208-217.
- Stahr, B., Cushing, D., Lane, K., & Fox, J., (2006). Efficacy of a function-based intervention in decreasing off-Task behavior exhibited by a student with ADHD. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 8*(4), 201-211.
- The IRIS Center for Training Enhancements. (2009). *Functional Behavioral Assessment: Identifying the Reasons for Problem Behavior and Developing a Behavior Plan*. Retrieved on July 1, 2011 from <http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/fba/chalcycle.htm>.

- Touchette, P. E., MacDonald, R. F., & Langer, S. N. (1985). A scatter plot for identifying stimulus control of problem behavior. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 18*, 343- 351.
- Turton, A. M., Umbreit, J., & Mathur, S. R. (2010). Systematic function-based intervention for adolescents with emotional and behavioral disorders in an alternative setting: Broadening the context. *Behavioral Disorders, 36*(2), 117-128.
- Umbreit, J., & Blair, K. B. (1997). Using structural analysis to facilitate the treatment of noncompliance and aggression in a young child at-risk for behavioral disorders. *Behavioral Disorders, 22*, 75-86.
- Umbreit, J., Ferro, J., Liaupsin, C. J., & Lane, K. L. (2007). *Functional behavioral assessment and function-based intervention: An effective, practical approach*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Press.
- Umbreit, J., Lane, K. L., & Dejud, C. (2004). Improving classroom behavior by modifying task difficulty: Effects of increasing the difficulty of too easy tasks. *Journal of Positive Behavior Support, 6*, 13-20.
- Wood, B. K., Ferro, J. B., Umbreit, J., & Liaupsin, C. J. (2011). Addressing the challenging behavior of young children through systematic function-based intervention. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 30* (4), 221-232