Magnetic link design for a robotic laparoscopic camera
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The use of magnetic fields to control and steer assistive and operative devices is increasing in minimally invasive surgical applications. The design of the magnetic link between an external permanent magnet, maneuvered by an industrial robot, and a robotic laparoscopic camera was investigated in this paper, with the objective to obtain accurate positioning and steering in visualization. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3352581]

I. INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic surgery, also called minimally invasive surgery, is a modern surgical technique in which operations in the abdomen are performed through small incisions (usually 0.5–1.5 cm) as compared with larger incisions needed in traditional surgical procedures. The widespread adoption of such technique opened a new era in surgical treatments. Recently, there has been an impetus to further reduce the invasiveness of laparoscopic surgery by introducing magnetically guided devices that fit entirely inside the abdomen; thus preventing the need of a dedicated access through the skin.

From the technical standpoint, the most advanced systems exploiting magnetic fixation and positioning for laparoscopic procedures are three imaging robots reported in Refs. 1–3. However, in these solutions the magnetic element on-
torque that the brushless motor transmits to the IPM by means of the internal mechanism.

Considering an abdominal wall thickness ranging from 19 to 47 mm (Ref. 6) and a constant safety air gap of 5 mm between the EPM and the patient skin, an IPM-EPM total distance within 24 and 52 mm was considered. According to the analytical background described in Refs. 7 and 8, IPM and EPM must be selected in terms of size, shape, magnetic remanence, and magnetization direction in order to fulfill the above reported relations.

A. Magnetic and mechanical design of the robotic device

As a first step, the IPM features were defined to maximize $F_m$ and $T_{m\text{-}\text{nom}}$ while minimizing the device diameter (13 mm is the internal diameter of a typical trocar for laparoscopy). Two diametrically magnetized commercially available NdFeB N42 permanent magnets (KJ Magnetics, Jamison, U.S.), having a disk shape 6.35 mm in diameter and 3.17 mm in thickness, were selected. For a further maximization of the interaction force with the EPM, two custom made magnets with the same dimension, but higher remanence (i.e., N52), may be used.

In order to maximize the torque transmitted by the entire mechanical system to the IPM ($T_{\text{mot}}$), a brushless motor (SBL04-0829 Namiki precision Jewel Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was selected as best tradeoff between high stall torque ($C_{\text{mot}}$) (5.7 mNm) and small size (4 mm in diameter and 17.5 mm in length). A mechanism with a low transmission ratio ($\tau$) of 0.056 and composed by a helical gear and a worm gear connects the IPM to the motor. Based on Ref. 9, the mechanism efficiency ($\eta_{\text{mech}}$) due to internal friction was calculated as 0.415. In order to introduce a safety margin for the mechanism design, the half of the stall torque was adopted as the operative value. Finally, considering the magnetic interference with the electromagnetic actuator, motor efficiency ($\eta_{\text{mot}}$) was defined to account for the performance reduction. The total torque transmitted to the internal magnets by the motor is described by the equation

$$T_{\text{mot}} = \frac{0.5 \cdot C_{\text{mot}} \cdot \eta_{\text{mot}} \cdot \eta_{\text{mech}}}{\tau}. \quad (4)$$

Once the internal magnets and all the other mechanical parts of the camera device were selected and assembled, $F_w$ and $T_{\text{min}}$ were evaluated. The camera device is 7.7 g in weight; therefore a minimal magnetic attraction force larger than 75.5 mN ($F_w$) is required to lift the capsule. By knowing mass and arrangement of all device components, its center of mass (8.5 mm from the IPM pivot) was derived and $T_{\text{min}}$ was analytically calculated as 0.64 mNm.

Finally, assuming a constant friction coefficient ($\mu$) between the tissue and the camera device equal to 0.1 (Ref. 10) and a distance ($b$) [see Fig. 1(b)] between the rotation pivot and the tissue equal to 6.35 mm, the friction torque for the system is described by

$$T_{fr} = (F_w - F_m) \cdot \mu \cdot b \quad (5)$$

B. Magnetic and dimensional design of the EPM

Once the features of the modules on-board the device were fixed, the magnetic and dimensional properties of the EPM must be defined in order to address the requirements in term of magnetic attraction force and torque. Axial magnetization direction and cylindrical shape were purposely selected to allow the required degrees of motion and to guarantee a symmetric field along the central axis of the EPM. N52 magnetic remanence was chosen to maximize the EPM-IPM attraction force and torque. The robotic arm payload (maximum weight of 3 Kg in our case) and limitations of the workspace must also be considered during selection of an adequate EPM.

In order to refine our selection among commercially available EPM candidates already fulfilling the above requirements, finite elements method (FEM) analysis (COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS 3.4—COMSOL, Inc., Sweden) was used. Seven off-the-shelf permanent magnets having a volume ranging from 6.44 to 51.5 cm$^3$ were considered. Magnetic attraction forces ($F_m$) and torques ($T_m$) were thus evaluated by FEM analysis between the defined IPM and the different external magnets. Magnetic flux density around the electromagnetic motor was also calculated in order to evaluate the motor efficiency ($\eta_{\text{mot}}$). All properties of the EPM, such as magnetic permeability ($\mu_0=1.05$) and different dimensions, were set into the simulation. The selected mesh consisted of about 500,000 elements with a maximum element size fixed to 1/100 of the maximum geometric feature in the overall scenario. The incremental ratio of the mesh elements was fixed to 1.45, whereas the curvature factor and the mesh curvature cut-off were chosen equal to 0.5 and 0.02, respectively.

Based on simulation results, magnetic and physical requirements, and off-the-shelf availability, an axially magnetized disk shaped NdFeB N52 permanent magnet (KJ Magnetics, Jamison, U.S.) 50.8 mm in diameter, 12.7 mm in thickness, and 200 g in weight, was selected. Among the magnets that satisfied the design relations (1)–(3), the selected one represents the optimal solution in terms of magnetic force and torque.

C. Experimental validation

Once defined the EPM and IPM features, the simulation results, in terms of magnetic attraction force and torque, were assessed by several bench tests with a force/torque sensor (Nano17, ATI, U.S.). The IPM was fixed to the sensor and the EPM was placed as the end-effector of the robotic arm to achieve precise translational and rotational movements. The experimental setup is represented in Fig. 2.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The $F_m$ values obtained by FEM simulations were 150 and 930 mN at EPM-IPM distances of 52 and 24 mm, respectively. $T_{m\text{-}ax}$, considering an IPM axial rotation of $\alpha=2^\circ$ (minimum step of the mechanism), ranges from 1.7 to 7.1 mNm. As required, the magnetic attraction force overcomes the weight of the device (75.5 mN). Once $F_m$ was known, $T_{fr}$ was analytically calculated as 0.05 and 0.54 mNm at EPM-
IPM distances of 52 and 24 mm, respectively. By considering \( \eta_{\text{mot}} \) equal to 0.9 at 5.2 mm and equal to 0.35 at 24 mm, \( T_{\text{mot}} \) varies between 19 and 7.39 mNm. \( F_m \), \( T_m \), and all the parameters described above confirmed Eqs. (1)–(3); thus allowing a reliable and accurate dragging and steering of the camera device. It is worth mentioning that the levels of force obtained would not cause any injury to human tissues which are in contact with the IPM (Ref. 11).

By using the experimental setup described in Sec. II, the results obtained by FEM analysis were confirmed in terms of magnetic attraction force and torque at the EPM-IPM target distances. In particular, a force of 883 and 143 mN and a torque of 6.73 and 1.52 mNm were obtained at EPM-IPM distances of 24 and 52 mm, respectively. The difference between FEM and experimental values (maximum percentage difference 12%) was mainly derived from the interference of the external magnetic field with the metal parts of the robotic arm.

In conclusion, the present work outlined the required steps to properly design a transabdominal EPM-IPM magnetic link for surgical robotic devices. This study may serve as guideline for the development of novel intracavitary robotic tools driven by magnetic fields, thus highlighting how to apply basic theoretical models to surgical applications.
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