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Abstract

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer in men and the second most common cancer in women worldwide. Diagnosing colorectal has been increasingly successful due to advances in technology. Flexible endoscopy is considered to be an effective method for early diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal cancer, making it a popular choice for screening programs. However, millions of people who may benefit from endoscopic colorectal cancer screening fail to have the procedure performed. Main reasons include psychological barriers due to the indignity of the procedure, fear of procedure related pain, bowel preparation discomfort, and potential need for sedation. Therefore, an urgent need for new technologies addressing these issues clearly exists. In this review, we discuss a set of advanced endoscopic technologies for colorectal cancer screening that are either already available or close to clinical trial. In particular, we focus on visual-inspection-only advanced flexible colonoscopes, interventional colonoscopes with alternative propulsion mechanisms, wireless capsule colonoscopy, and technologies for intraprocedural bowel cleansing. Many of these devices have the potential to reduce exam related patient discomfort, obviate the need for sedation, increase diagnostic yield, reduce learning curves, improve access to screening, and possibly avert the need for a bowel preparation.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer in men and the second most common cancer in women worldwide with approximately 608,000 people dying each year[1]. In the United States alone there are approximately 1.14 million people alive who have a history of CRC and 1 in 20 will be diagnosed with cancer of the colon or rectum in their lifetime[2]. Unfortunately, this number is projected to increase by 62% by the year 2030[3].

Diagnosing CRC has been increasingly successful due to numerous advances in technology. One of the paramount technological advances has been the ability to directly visualize the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (and provide therapy) with the flexible endoscope. The earliest flexi-
ible endoscope, completely based on optical fibers, was presented at the American Gastroscopy Society annual meeting in May 1957 by Hirschowitz. This achievement was inspired by a paper published in 1954, entitled “a flexible fibroscope using static scanning”, by Hopkins et al at the Imperial College of Science and Technology in London. Building on this history of technological innovation, and driven by breakthroughs in electronics, material science, computational capabilities, sensing, and actuation strategies, many novel GI devices and diagnostic techniques have emerged. In this review, we will discuss a set of advanced endoscopic technologies for CRC screening that are either already available or close to clinical trial; and have the potential to reduce procedure related patient discomfort and increase diagnostic yield.

LIMITATION OF STANDARD GI ENOSCOPY
Flexible endoscopy is considered to be an effective method for early diagnosis and treatment of GI cancer, thus is a primary choice for screening programs. Few complications are associated with this technique, with cardiorespiratory problems related to sedation and analgesia being the most common (0.03%-20% incidence). Less frequent complications include, infection (0.2% incidence), bleeding (0.2%-2.1% incidence) or perforation (0.1% incidence), which potentially require subsequent medications, transfusions, or endoscopic/surgical intervention to correct.

Based on the efficacy and low complication rate of flexible endoscopy, it is clear that the main clinical challenge facing GI endoscopy is one of distribution. Millions of people who may benefit from endoscopic CRC screening fail to have the procedure performed. The reasons cited include psychological barriers due to the indignity of the procedure, fear of procedure related pain, bowel preparation discomfort, and potential need for sedation.

How rational are these fears? From a mechanical perspective, the endoscope consists of a long and fairly stiff (compared to the compliant colon) tube with a steerable head. The colonoscope must easily navigate the colon curves and traverse the intestinal environment efficiently - meaning that a colonoscope must be simultaneously stiff and compliant. If the colonoscope is too stiff, it will deform the colon wall significantly at turns; yet, if it is too compliant there will be undesired buckling. Since the colonoscope must be pushed from the back, while the tip is aimed along the lumen center, when the intestine bends, the shaft pushes against the colon wall until the lumen and its surroundings provide sufficient counter pressure to force the endoscope shaft to bend. This stretches the colon and often leads to “loop” formation, thus potentially causing substantial discomfort. In particular, looping occurs when the colonoscope continues to be advanced into the colon without a corresponding progression of the tip. This displaces the colon from its native configuration and stretches mesentry muscles. Looping of the endoscope has been shown to be responsible for 90% of the pain episodes in colonoscopy and increases the chance of tissue damage and perforation. Special maneuvers can be performed to minimize this effect, making colonoscopy a procedure that requires a great degree of training, technical skill, and experience to safely perform. Despite these techniques, even expert endoscopists can not always prevent all challenges or complications; partially because the flexible endoscope design is one of compromise and is not perfect for its intended purpose. Additionally, the need for a bowel preparation acts as a potential deterrent due to the unpleasantness of ingesting powerful medications to clean the intestine. A detailed discussion on bowel preparation is beyond the scope of this review and will only be discussed briefly.

TOWARD MECHANISMS ENABLING PAINLESS COLONOSCOPY
Several colonoscope modifications have recently been presented with the common goal of preventing excessive force application to the colon wall and consequent looping. These devices can be categorized into two groups: those designed purely for visual inspection (visual inspection devices), and those that contain internal channels through which interventional devices (i.e. biopsy, snare, needle, etc) can be passed (interventional devices). In addition to flexible colonoscope modifications, wireless capsule colonoscopy is emerging as a “patient-friendly” alternative technique for visual inspection of the colon.

In this section we will provide an overview of recent advancements in these three device categories.

Visual-inspection-only advanced flexible colonoscopes
An example diagnostic - only device is the CathCam, whose development has been supported by Ethicon Endo Surgery, Inc., represented in Figure 1A. The CathCam is a nonsterile, disposable, multilumen catheter with a working length of 1.8 m and a diameter of 11 mm. Vision and illumination are provided by a 3-mm camera with six light-emitting diodes. The catheter is designed for single use, while the camera is reusable and is mounted into the catheter tip prior to the procedure. The channels of the CathCam accommodate the cables of the video camera and enable the system to provide suction, irrigation, and visualization. An accessory channel (2.8 mm in diameter) is provided for a looped guide wire (0.024-inch hinged lumen-seeking guide wire) that is advanced through the channel and into the lumen of the colon. The guide wire then serves to guide the catheter forward when the catheter is pushed. This device has demonstrated 30% to 40% peak force reduction in benchtop experiments and in live pigs, in comparison to standard colonoscopes.

Another advanced diagnostic flexible endoscope is the Aer-O-Scope (GI View Ltd., Ramat Gan, Israel), which is a pneumatic, skill-independent, self-propelling,
Figure 1  Figures of the devices. A: The CathCam system, reprinted from [15], with permission from Elsevier; B: The Aer-O-Scope™ system, reprinted from [18], with permission from Elsevier; C: The Endotics™ system, image courtesy of Era Endoscopy; D: The NeoGuide™ system, reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publisher Ltd: The American Journal of Gastroenterology [11], copyright 2007; E: The Invendoscope™ system, reprinted from [24] with permission from Elsevier; F: The ColonoSight™ system, reprinted from [26] with permission from Elsevier; G: Magnetic endoscopic device described in [27]; H: The Endo-Ease™ overtube over a pediatric colonoscope, reprinted from [28], with permission from Elsevier.
Table 1 Main characteristics of advanced flexible colonoscopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Human studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CathCam</td>
<td>Disposable; peak force reduction with respect to standard colonoscopy</td>
<td>No instrument channel; No steering</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aer-O-Scope™</td>
<td>Disposable; “tip pulling” locomotion; computer-aided control</td>
<td>No instrument channel; No steering</td>
<td>Yes[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endo-Ease™</td>
<td>Disposable; Steerable head; “tip pulling” locomotion; computer-aided control</td>
<td>No instrument channel; average procedure time longer than colonoscopy</td>
<td>Yes[20,21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeoGuide™</td>
<td>Instrument channel; shape retention; 3D map of the device; computer-aided control</td>
<td>Reusable (need for cleaning); large diameter</td>
<td>Yes[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InvendoScope™</td>
<td>Disposable; instrument channel; “tip pulling” locomotion; computer-aided control</td>
<td>Diameter similar to a colonoscope</td>
<td>Yes[22,32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonosight™</td>
<td>Disposable; instrument channel; “tip pulling” locomotion</td>
<td>Diameter similar to a colonoscope</td>
<td>Yes[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic endoscopic device</td>
<td>computer-aided control; thin tail</td>
<td>Complexities related to magnetic control</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endo-Ease™</td>
<td>Facilitates cecal intubation</td>
<td>Large diameter</td>
<td>Yes[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScopeGuide™</td>
<td>3D real-time visualization of the device</td>
<td>Standard colonoscope</td>
<td>Yes[23,34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and self-navigating disposable colonoscope[16], represented in Figure 1B. The device is composed of a rectal introducer, a supply cable, and an endoscope embedded within a scanning balloon that serves as its vehicle. The rectal introducer is a hollow silicon tube (1.7 m in length, 19 mm in diameter) with a silicone balloon (80 mm in diameter) attached to its outer surface. The introducer is inserted into the rectum with its outer balloon, and the endoscope and its vehicle balloon are passed through the hollow tube of the introducer. The silicone balloon on the introducer seals the anus to prevent gas leakage. CO₂ is insufflated between the two inflated balloons, and gas pressure advances the vehicle balloon, endoscope, and a trailing supply cable. The supply cable on the endoscope/scanning balloon is a flexible polyurethane multi-lumen catheter, 5.5 mm in diameter, coated with a hydrophilic material, which supplies the electro-optical capsule (the scope) and its vehicle balloon with electricity, air, water, and suction. The volume of the vehicle/scanning balloon is pressure-regulated. The balloon is designed to adapt to the shape of the colon as it travels forward. The balloon has a large predetermined maximal volume and thin wall (8 μm) that help it adapt to the shape of the colon in response to pressure exerted by the colonic walls. These features allow the balloon to maintain the seal with the colon wall, so that gas introduced on one side of the balloon does not escape to the other side. This allows a pressure gradient to develop from behind the balloon to in front of it during forward propulsion, and vice versa during withdrawal. Since the tip has no steering capabilities, an omnidirectional colonicoscopy viewing system has been installed on the tip of the latest prototype[17]. The Aer-O-Scope™ is intended to be used for diagnostic purposes and therefore does not have a working channel for therapeutic instruments. In a preliminary pilot feasibility study[18], the Aer-O-Scope™ effectively intubated the cecum in 10 out of 12 subjects (83%) with an average time to cecum of 14 min ± 7 min.

Another approach, inspired by Geometer moths, is inchworm locomotion[19]. Inchworm locomotion is similar to the motion utilized in double balloon endoscopy where there is lengthening and shortening along two anchor points (distal anchor and proximal anchor). The EndoScope™ system (Era Endoscopy s.r.l., Pisa, Italy) effectively utilized inchworm locomotion and consists of a disposable robotic probe as a head, a steerable tip, a flexible body (17 mm in diameter), a thin tail (7.5 mm in diameter), and a control box with an electro-pneumatic connector. The EndoScope™ endoscopic device is represented in Figure 1C. The head hosts both a vision system, including camera, light emitting diode light source and channels for a water jet and air insufflation/suction. A semiautomatic sequence of actions is implemented to move the probe like an inchworm, wherein two vacuum anchors located in the proximal and distal ends of the probe are sequentially actuated between extensions and retractions of the central body. Experiments in a phantom model with embedded force sensors demonstrated 90% lower force application compared to conventional colonscopy[20]. A human study[21] with 71 unsedated patients demonstrated that this system has a comparable diagnostic accuracy to colonscopy, and does not require sedation. The frequency of successful procedures (i.e. reaching the cecum), the total procedure time, and the need for sedation were recorded in this study. In 13 cases (18%), the device was unable to reach the cecum and the average procedure time was 45.1 min ± 18.5 min for the EndoScope™ system compared to 23.7 min ± 7.2 min for traditional colonscopy (P < 0.0001).

Currently, the lack of tissue interaction makes it improbable that diagnostic-only devices will completely replace traditional colonoscopes. Only prospective comparative outcome trials will be able to conclusively determine whether a diagnostic-only device (followed by conventional colonscopy for potential therapeutic intervention) will be preferable to conventional colonscopy with both diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities.

**Interventional colonoscopes with alternative propulsion mechanisms**

A first subset of this class of colonoscopes consists of...
shape retention devices, which are essentially tubes that are initially flexible and can be stiffened when desired. While several examples of devices utilizing this principle are described in literature, only one has reached the clinical trial stage. This is the NeoGuide™ from NeoGuide Systems Inc. (a company based in San Jose, California, United States that was acquired in 2009 by Intuitive Surgical of Sunnyvale, California, United States). The NeoGuide™, represented in Figure 1D, consists of a 173 cm-long endoscope composed of eight 18 cm-long independent vertebrae. Each segment can be directed to assume a right, left, up, down, circular curve, or a combination of these motions. During manual insertion of the device, the position and angle of the scope tip are encoded into a computer algorithm. As the colonoscope is advanced, the computer directs each successive vertebra to take the same shape that the tip had at a given insertion depth. The insertion tube thus changes its shape at different insertion depths in a “follow-the-leader” manner. By controlling the shape of the insertion tube, the NeoGuide™ does not rely on conventional pushing against the colon wall to maneuver. The cross-sectional diameter of the NeoGuide™ insertion tube is approximately 14 mm at the tip, increasing to approximately 20 mm at the proximal shaft of the scope (the working channel is 3.2 mm). The mechanical valves that control insufflation, suction, or water irrigation are the same as in conventional endoscopes. Biopsies and therapeutic maneuvers are conducted with the scope in passive mode; where the shape and stiffness of the endoscope is the same as that of a standard colonoscope. In vitro evaluation of the NeoGuide™ system showed significantly less looping and lateral force required for advancement than procedures with a standard colonoscope. An initial clinical trial of 10 sedated patients demonstrated a looping rate of 40%. Although looping was defined as extensive in three of four cases, it was successfully straightened under computerized 3D imaging and the cecum was reached in all patients. The 3D map images generated by the NeoGuide™ endoscopy system provide information regarding tip position, insertion tube position, and colonic looping. These additional pieces of information may contribute to a safer and more comfortable procedure for the patient.

Another computer-aided colonoscope is the disposable Invendoscope™ (Invendo Medical GmbH, Kissing, Germany). The colonoscope, represented in Figure 1E, has a working length of 210 cm and the internal functional endoscope is covered by several layers, starting with a 10-mm diameter sheath. The sheath is covered by double layers of an “inverted sleeve” that provides the propulsion mechanism. Eight drive wheels in the driving unit grip the inner layer of the inverted sleeve and rotate, causing it to move forward. The “inverted sleeve” mechanism causes the colonoscope to “grow” at a position 10 cm below the distal end. Similarly, when the colonoscope is being retracted, the drive wheels rotate in the opposite direction and the endoscope “shrinks”. This technology, combined with a small bending radius, was designed to reduce the forces exerted on the walls of the colon, with the goal of minimizing patient discomfort - even without sedation. Other than these mechanisms, the Invendoscope™ functions in a manner similar to conventional endoscopes, allowing for insufflation, rinsing, and suction. It also has a 3.2-mm working channel, allowing for therapeutic procedures to be performed.

A pilot study of 34 healthy volunteers (19 men, mean age 49.7 years) demonstrated that the Invendoscope was able to reach the cecum without any sedation in 80% to 90% of cases. This result was supported by a prospective single-arm study of 61 healthy volunteers (34 men and 27 women; mean age 57.5 years) undergoing screening colonoscopy, with a cecal intubation rate of 98.4% with less than 5% of patients requiring sedation.

The ColonoSight™, a disposable, self-propelling device based on IntraPull and ProtecScope technologies by Stryker, Inc., has three working channels: a 3.7-mm-wide channel for suction and insertion of accessory tools, a channel for irrigation, and a channel for insufflation (Figure 1F). A disposable sleeve anchored at the proximal end of the device envelopes the endoscope, protecting it from contamination. The IntraPull mechanism generates a force close to the tip of the scope by pumping compressed air inside the sleeve controlled by depressing a foot pedal. The material of the sleeve does not allow expansion, and therefore the increased pressure inside the sleeve creates a force directed toward the tip of the colonoscope, thus pushing the tip forward as the folded part of the sleeve is deployed. The maximum force generated is 4.9 N (0.5 kgf) whereby the maximum force generated with a standard colonoscope is approximately 44 N (4.5 kgf). Once the endoscopist releases the foot pedal, the sleeve is deflated and the applied force is removed. A pilot prospective study of 178 participants (48 women and 130 men, mean ± SD age 51.8 ± 10.7 years) reported a success rate of 90% in reaching the cecum without complication of bleeding or perforation. All patients in the study were sedated using midazolam, meperidine, or propofol; therefore, no data on patient discomfort was reported.

With the exception of the Endotics™ system, tip deflection of the above devices are accomplished by wires travelling the length of the device - effectively creating a minimum outer endoscope diameter (lower boundary) of approximately 10 mm. If however, one could “pull” rather than “push” the endoscope, the outer diameter lower boundary may be reduced further; limited by the space needed for the therapeutic channel and the electrical connections to the vision module. Magnetic steering and control was applied to an endoscopic device containing a tip-mounted magnetic camera (diameter 11 mm, length 26 mm) connected to an external control box by a 5.4-mm diameter multi-lumen soft tether. This connection was used for insufflation, passage of a therapeutic instrument, activation of a lens cleaning mechanism, and for operating the vision module. The external magnet was held by a 7 degree-of-freedom (DoF) robotic arm that was controlled in real-time by the en-
A common theme in reducing discomfort associated with standard colonoscopy appears to be shifting the location of the propulsive force from the base of the device outside of the patient (i.e. “pushing”, typical force profile available in literature\[23\]) to the tip of the colonoscope inside the patient (i.e. “pulling”). The latter can result in improved alignment of the direction of the applied force with the desired direction of forward tip motion. Several devices have demonstrated that this technique can reduce forces applied to the colon wall during insertion, which is believed to correlate with a reduction in patient discomfort and the risk of colon perforation. Diverse methods for reducing tip forces have been proposed, ranging from pneumatic pressure\[22,25,26\] to robotic locomotion\[21\] to magnetic fields\[27\]. While it remains to be seen which of these technologies will achieve market penetration and widespread clinical use; the thin tether of the last two devices described previously appears advantageous.

An alternative approach to minimize looping of the endoscope may lie in the endoscopists ability to “visualize” the shape of the endoscope as she or he advances it throughout the colon. A commercially available endoscopic system from Olympus, the ScopeGuide™ (CF-H180DL), integrates electromagnetic tracking into a standard colonoscope and provides a real-time rendering of the endoscope shape in free space on a display. A recent study\[28\] comparing this platform with standard colonoscopy reported reduced cecal intubation time (181 s vs 216 s; \(P < 0.05\)) and reduced patient discomfort on a 10-point visual analog scale (2.44 vs 1.85; \(P < 0.05\)). Unfortunately, other studies\[29\] of expert endoscopists utilizing this device have not reproduced these results; leading one to believe that the application of the system may be limited to novices.

In regard to reducing the learning curve, computer-aided devices or image guided techniques\[18,21,25,27,32\] have the potential to make colonoscopy less technically challenging for novices. Haptics has also been explored with promising results to facilitate colonoscopy\[34\]. In particular, lumen detection by real-time image analysis was used to provide a feedback force at the user interface that should aid the physician to steer the endoscope tip toward the lumen. Given the increasing role of technology in healthcare, one day it may be possible for examinations to be carried out remotely (i.e. patient and provider are not in the same physical location) by health care professionals in the community in order to meet the increased demand for screening colonoscopy.

### Wireless capsule colonoscopy

Trends in consumer electronics such as miniaturization, low power operation, and wireless technologies have paved the way to the introduction of wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE) in 2000\[35\]. This technology has now become essential for small bowel inspection\[36\] and is trying to expand its reach for use in the large intestine with purposely developed capsule devices, such as the PillCam™ Colon by Given Imaging (Yokneam, Israel). The effectiveness of the second generation of PillCam™ Colon\[37\] as an alternative to colonoscopy for CRC screening has been analyzed in a recent editorial\[38\] that appeared in this journal on the basis of recent clinical trials. Although the sensitivity for polyp detection for the PillCam™ Colon is close to 90%, specificity remains disappointingly low due to a substantial rate of false-positive results\[39\]. Therefore, despite clear patient comfort benefits provided by WCE, colonoscopy is still the gold-standard for CRC screening\[34\].

A main research stream for WCE toward the final goal of replacing flexible endoscopy consists in providing the capsule with the possibility to be remotely controlled and manipulated. Magnetic coupling is one of the few physical phenomena capable of transmitting actuation forces across a physical barrier. Remote mag-
A significant common disadvantage of both conventional and novel colonoscopes is the need for bowel preparation. An innovative device addressing this challenge is the ClearPath™ system that consists of a control cabinet and a disposable unit. The control cabinet includes a peristaltic pump, a controller, and a pinch valve that enables control of suction. The disposable element consists of a multi-lumen, custom-made, extruded tube. The tube has two channels: one that supplies water for irrigation and one that provides suction. When attached to the standard colonoscope, the ClearPath™ system adds an additional 6mm to the outer diameter of the standard colonoscope. Water for irrigation flows through four 0.6-mm nozzles in the distal head and debris is evacuated through a single 18-mm cross-sectional aperture. Preliminary animal trials on partially prepared domestic swine demonstrated effective intraprocedural colon cleaning with no immediate mucosal damage, acute complication (i.e. perforation), or delayed adverse consequence.

An alternative approach for cleaning the colon during colonoscopy consists of a disposable soft-tipped catheter with a water jet spray that can be advanced, under direct vision, through the accessory channel of the standard colonoscope and into fecal matter. When the water jet is activated the solid stool can be broken apart for removal by suction. The water jet catheter tip has 4 radial nozzles through which the water is pumped. Feasibility studies in the unprepared colons of anesthetized pigs demonstrated effective intraprocedural colon cleaning with no immediate mucosal damage, acute complication (i.e. perforation), or delayed adverse consequence.

In summary, there have been promising advances in the development of devices utilized for CRC screening with the devices designed to achieve a common goal to improve the way we directly view the colon (and possible intervene on any pathology present). The main principle that must be kept in mind with any new device is patient safety. Secondary principles that continue to be addressed include ease of use, reduction of the learning curve, improvement in colonic visualization, improvement in patient procedural comfort, better access to endoscopic screening, and possibly obviation of the need for a bowel preparation. With technology improving at a rapid pace, it may not be long before a disruptive innovation takes hold and we see the conventional colonoscope as an item on the shelf in a medical museum.
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