Presentation Evaluation Form

Use this form to evaluate PhD **presentations**. If you do not see your student's name, you may need the PhD poster evaluation form.

For each category, please provide a quantitative rating *and* comments. The qualitative feedback is most helpful to students.

- 1. Clarity and Significance of Research Problem
 - 1) Research problem is not articulated or grounded in literature
 - 2) Research problem is unclear or insufficiently grounded in literature
 - 3) Research problem is clearly articulated and adequately grounded in literature
 - 4) Research problem is clearly articulated, adequately grounded in literature, and is of unusual worth or importance

Comments
DIALOGUE BOX HERE

- 2. Theoretical/Conceptual Framework
 - 1) There is no discernable theoretical/conceptual framework
 - 2) Framework is unclear or insufficiently aligned with study design
 - 3) Framework is clear, aligned with study design, but underdeveloped
 - 4) Framework is clear, aligned with study design, fully developed, and offers new insights

Comments
DIALOGUE BOX HERE

- 3. Appropriateness of method
 - 1) The choice of method does not fit the question and is not clearly articulated
 - 2) The choice of method is either not a match for the question or not clearly articulated
 - 3) The choice of method is a good match for the question and clearly articulated
 - 4) The choice of method is a good match for the question, clearly articulated, and makes a methodological contribution

Comments:

DIALOGUE BOX HERE

- 4. Soundness of conclusions
 - 1) Major claims are not supported
 - 2) Evidence is incomplete or unclear
 - 3) Conclusions generally follow the evidence
 - 4) Conclusions well justified by evidence

Comments:

DIALOGUE BOX HERE

- 5. Organization and clarity of presentation
 - 1) Unfocused; difficult to follow the argument
 - 2) Sometimes difficult to follow the argument
 - 3) Generally organized and clear, with minor glitches
 - 4) Well organized and clear, easy to follow and evaluate

Comments:

DIALOGUE BOX HERE

6. Response to audience

- 1) Seemed uninformed about own work
- 2) Answers to questions are irrelevant, unconnected to the question, or represent difficulties communicating knowledge
- 3) Answers to questions are generally clear, connected to question, and knowledgeable, with minor glitches
- 4) Answers to questions are clear, connected to question, and represent outstanding knowledge of the topic

Comments:

DIALOGUE BOX HERE

7. Overall evaluation

- 1) Does not meet expectations
- 2) Partially meets expectations
- 3) Meets expectations
- 4) Above expectations

Summary Comments and/or Questions

Evaluators: Please provide a summary of your evaluation. List overall strengths and areas for improvement.

DIALOGUE BOX HERE